The lots located at Boston, Mass., Indiana, Pa., and all but two of the lots located at Philadelphia, Pa., were alleged to be adulterated in that they consisted in whole or in part of filthy substances, and in that they had been prepared under insanitary conditions whereby they may have become contaminated with filth. Two of the lots located at Philadelphia, Pa., were alleged to be adulterated (1) in that a valuable constituent, egg, had been in whole or in part omitted therefrom; (2) in that artificially colored alimentary paste deficient in egg solids had been substituted wholly or in part for egg alimentary paste, which the article purported to be; (3) in that inferiority had been concealed by the addition of artificial color; (4) in that artificial color had been added thereto or mixed or packed therewith so as to make it appear better or of greater value than it was; and (5) in that it contained coal—tar color other than one from a batch that had been certified in accordance with regulations as provided by law. The lots located at Philadelphia were also alleged to be misbranded in that the name "Egg Fusilli," appearing on the label, was false and misleading as applied to an alimentary paste deficient in egg solids and artificially colored.

The lot located at Indiana, Pa., was alleged to be misbranded in that the statement "Guaranteed to comply with State and Federal Pure Food Laws" was false and misleading as applied to a filthy product prepared under insanitary conditions. It was alleged to be misbranded further in that the statement "Manufactured by Indiana Macaroni Co., Inc., Indiana, Pa.," was false and misleading since the article was manufactured by the Vittoria Macaroni Co.,

Maspeth, N. Y.

Between April 20, 1943, and August 2, 1943, no claimant having appeared, judgments of condemnation were entered. One of the lots located at Philadelphia, Pa., was ordered distributed to a charitable institution. The remaining lots were ordered destroyed.

5116. Misbranding of spaghetti and macaroni dinners. U. S. v. 84 Cases of Spaghetti Dinner and 24 Cases of Macaroni Dinner. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 9870. Sample Nos. 23266-F to 23268-F, incl.)

The packages labeled "Spaghetti Dinner" contained ingredients that were short of the declared weight, and both ingredients in the package labeled "Maca-

roni Dinner" were short-weight and deceptively packaged.

On April 28, 1943, the United States attorney for the District of New Jersey filed a libel against 84 cases of Spaghetti Dinner and 24 cases of Macaroni Dinner at Trenton, N. J., alleging that the articles had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about March 25, 1943, by the Kurtz Brothers Corporation from Bridgeport, Pa.; and charging that they were misbranded. The articles were labeled in part: "Kurtz King Brand Complete Spaghetti Dinner," or "Magic Chef Spaghetti [or "Macaroni"] Dinner."

The articles were alleged to be misbranded in that the statements (outer package of Kurtz King Brand Spaghetti Dinner), "Grated Cheese \* \* Net Weight ½ Ounce Spaghetti \* \* Net Weight 8 Ozs.," (inner spaghetti cartons) "Net Weight 8 Ounces," (outer packages of Magic Chef Spaghetti dinner) "Spaghetti \* \* Net Weight 8 Ozs. \* \* \* Cheese \* \* Net Weight ½ Oz.," (outer packages of Magic Chef Macaroni Dinner) "6 Ozs. Semolina Macaroni \* \* 1¼ Oz. Grated Cheese," (envelopes containing macaroni) "Net Weight 6 Ounces," and (envelopes containing cheese) "Net Weight 1¼ Oz.," were false and misleading as applied to articles that were short weight. They were alleged to be misbranded further in that they were in package form and failed to bear labels containing accurate statements of the quantity of the contents. The Magic Chef Macaroni Dinner was alleged to be misbranded further in that its container was so filled as to be misleading, since the envelopes of macaroni and cheese occupied only 62 percent of the volume of the carton.

On June 4, 1943, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the products were ordered destroyed.

## BAKERY PRODUCTS

5117. Adulteration of bread. U. S. v. Edward W. Mootz (E. W. Mootz Bakery).

Plea of nolo contendere. Defendant placed on probation for 1 year. No fine imposed. (F. D. C. No. 9621. Sample Nos. 24292-F, 24365-F, 24397-F, 24399-F.)

This product contained rodent hair fragments and insect fragments.

On May 15, 1943, the United States attorney for the Southern District of West Virginia filed an information against Edward W. Mootz, trading as E. W. Mootz Bakery at Huntington, W. Va., alleging shipment within the period from on or